



DAINA 2 – Polish-Lithuanian Funding Initiative
Call for proposals 2020
EVALUATION SHEET FOR REVIEWERS IN RCL

- Does the project meet the criteria of basic research?

-yes

-no - in the case of “no”, please justify:

- Have the ethics issues been duly addressed?

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

- Has data management been duly planned:

-yes

-no

In the case of “no”, please justify:

I. APPLICANTS (40%)

Please assess the PIs' expertise in conducting the proposed research project, their research portfolio, their preliminary work and previous international cooperation.

Answer

5 – outstanding

4 – very good

3 – good

2 – moderate

1 – poor

0 – very poor

Justification (1000 characters min.) – please review Principal Investigators only.

Overall maximum score for this criteria - 5, threshold score in Lithuania for this criteria - 3

II. RESEARCH PROJECT (50%)

How do you judge the academic merits of the research project?



Please assess the innovative nature of the project, the impact of the research project on advances in the academic discipline and international cooperation, the scientific level of the objectives and work programme, the integrated approach of the project, risk management and the added value of bilateral cooperation. (*Please evaluate all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description Template*).

Answer

5 Excellent,

4 Very good.

3 Good

2 Average.

1 Poor.

0 Very poor.

Justification (1000 characters min.) – please comment on all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description listed above.

Overall maximum score for this criteria - 5, threshold score in Lithuania for this criteria – 3

III. FEASIBILITY AND FINANCE (10%)

How do you judge the feasibility of the project? Please assess whether the project is **fully feasible** (e.g. by the composition of the research teams, research facilities, infrastructure, the presented model of project management) or whether the project is **partially feasible** (i.e. it presents some key weaknesses as regards the composition of research teams or the development/availability of the research facilities, infrastructure and proposed model of project management) or the project is characterized as being poorly **feasible**.

Assessment of feasibility

2 – full feasibility of the project

1 – partial feasibility of the project

0 – poor feasibility of the project

Assessment of costs

2 – the cost estimate is justified

1 – the cost estimate is partly justified

0 – the cost estimate is not justified



Justification (300 characters min.) – please comment on the project's feasibility and the appropriateness of the requested funds.

Budget adjustments for Lithuanian budget are possible, if noted by reviewers. Please indicate what costs are proposed to change (exact amounts and activities should be specified).

Overall maximum score for this criteria - 4, threshold score in Lithuania for this criteria – 2. The minimum score for the Assessment of feasibility shall be 1 and the minimum score for the Assessment of cost shall be 1.

IV.SUMMARY OF THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE PROPOSAL AND THE FINAL RECOMMENDATION

Strengths

Weaknesses

Overall maximum score for all criteria - 14, threshold score in Lithuania for all criteria – 8).