



Annex No 3 to the Terms and regulations of the UWERTURA call set forth in NCN Council Resolution No 58/2019 of 15 May 2019

ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSALS SUBMITTED IN THE UWERTURA CALL

- **Has the proposal been prepared in a reliable manner?¹**
 - yes
 - noif no, please justify.

- **Does the project to be submitted under the ERC call meet the criteria of a scientific proposal?¹**
 - yes
 - noif no, please justify.

- **Does the project to be submitted under the ERC call meet the criterion of basic research?²**
 - yes
 - noif no, please justify.

- **Does the proposal meet the other requirements of the call announcement?¹**
 - yes
 - noif no, please justify.

STAGE I OF PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT

- A. ASSESSMENT OF THE RESEARCH TRACK RECORD OF THE FELLOWSHIP CANDIDATE (60%)**
- **research achievements, including publications in renowned scientific papers/ magazines:**
 - 6** Top-level global achievements
 - 5** Outstanding achievements
 - 4** Distinguishing achievements
 - 3** Very good achievements

¹ This question applies at the first stage of evaluation.

² Pursuant to Article 4(2)(1) of the Act on Science and Higher Education of 20 July 2018, basic research means experimental or theoretical endeavours undertaken primarily to gain new knowledge of the foundations of phenomena and observable facts, without concern for direct commercial use.



- 2 Good achievements
- 1 Average achievements
- 0 Modest achievements

Justification:

B. ASSESSMENT OF A RESEARCH PROJECT TO BE SUBMITTED UNDER THE ERC CALL (35%)

- **assessment of the scientific level of research to be completed**

- 3 Outstanding, very likely to receive an ERC grant
- 2 Very good, quite likely to receive an ERC grant
- 1 Good, unlikely to receive an ERC grant
- 0 Weak, very unlikely to receive an ERC grant

Justification:

C. SELECTION OF AN ERC GRANTEE (5%)

- 1 Partner selected accurately
- 2 Partner selected inaccurately

Justification:

Strengths of the proposal:

Weaknesses of the proposal:

STAGE II OF PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT

- **Interviews with candidates**

After the interview, the expert team decides on the recommendation of the proposals:

- A. project recommended for funding
- B. project recommended for funding as a secondary choice
- C. project not recommended for funding



NARODOWE CENTRUM NAUKI

Prof. dr hab. Małgorzata Kossowska

Chairwoman of the Council of
the National Science Centre